Case 5:09-cv-00036-RMW	Document 229	Filed 11/05/10	Page 1 of 1
------------------------	--------------	----------------	-------------

1			
2			
3			
4		E-FILED on 11/5/10	
5			
6			
7			
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10	SAN JOSE DIVISION		
11			
12	FORTINET, INC.,	No. C-09-00036 RMW	
13	Plaintiff,	ORDER DENYING MOTION TO STRIKE	
14	v.		
15	PALO ALTO NETWORKS, INC.,	[Re Docket No. 169]	
16	Defendants.		
17			
18	Fortinet, Inc. ("Fortinet") moves to strike	e portions of Palo Alto Networks Inc. ("PAN")'s	
19	Fortinet, Inc. ("Fortinet") moves to strike portions of Palo Alto Networks, Inc. ("PAN")'s reply papers. PAN argues that it was forced to raise new arguments in its reply papers because		
20		prevent prejudice and to allow the parties to flesh	
21	out issues that were raised for the first time in PAN's reply papers, the court permitted the parties to		
22	submit supplemental briefing. The court therefore denies Fortinet's motion to strike.		
23			
24			
25			
26	DATED: <u>11/5/10</u>	Romald M Whyte	
27		RONALD M. WHYTE United States District Judge	
28		-	

ORDER DENYING MOTION TO STRIKE —No. C-09-00036 RMW CCL